# External cost assessment of future electricity supply systems

**Wolfram Krewitt**
**DLR**
**Institute of Technical Thermodynamics**
**Systems Analysis and Technology Assessment**
**Stuttgart**

**38th LCA Discussion Forum**
**Zürich**
**June 19, 2009**

Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V. in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

## key questions

- Uncertainties related to the quantification of external costs are large. Is information on external costs robust enough to steer decision processes?
- Does external cost information sufficiently represent long term dynamics of potential development pathways to support long term strategy development?

Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V. in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

## the ExternE Impact Pathway




Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V. in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

## consensus areas

Damage to building materials, change in agricultural yield

- Dose-response modelling based on experiments
- Monetary valuation based on market prices

- relatively accurate quantification of external costs
- very low external costs (external costs <<< private costs)

Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V. in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

## consensus areas with significant uncertainties

Effects from air pollution on human health

- Dose-response modelling based on epidemiologic studies
  - statistical relationship rather than causal relationship
- Monetary valuation based on contingent valuation methods (willingness-to-pay; willingness-to-accept)

- significant external costs, in particular due to impacts from fine particles

Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V. in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

## Climate change impacts in a risk-matrix (Watkiss et al. 2005)

| ← Uncertainty in Predicting Climate Change | Uncertainty in Valuation → | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | **Market** | **Non Market** | **Socially Contingent** |
| **Projection** | Coastal protection<br>Loss of dryland<br>Energy (heating/cooling) | Heat stress<br>Loss of wetland | Regional costs<br>Investment |
| **Bounded Risk** | Agriculture<br>Water<br>Variability (drought, flood, storms) | Ecosystem change<br>Biodiversity<br>Loss of life<br>Secondary social effects | Comparative advantage and market structures |
| **System change and surprise** | Above, plus<br>Significant loss of land and resources<br>Non-marginal effects | Higher order social effects<br>Regional collapse<br>Irreversible losses | Regional collapse |

Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V. in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

## why so many different numbers?

"Implicitly or explicitly any marginal damage estimate of climate change is based on some preference ordering that a potential decision maker is assumed to hold.

There is no consensus on what the 'right' or correct preference order should be, and indeed it is commonly assumed that this question is one that cannot be answered by simple scientific investigation."

(David Anthoff, 2007, NEEDS)



## the role of 'equity weighting'

Equity weighting takes into account the attitude towards inequality in average per capita income between different world regions.

Recommendations from NEEDS (Anthoff 2007)

- The use of equity weights is not suggested for a regional decisionmaker.
- Equity weights might serve as a helpful reminder of what a truly benevolent global decisionmaker would do.

## Climate change damage costs from NEEDS

| | |
|---|---|
| without equity weighting: | 7 $€_{2005}$ per ton of $CO_2$ [a)] |
| with equity weighting: | 98 $€_{2005}$ per ton of $CO_2$ [a) b)] |

[a)] Year of emission: 2005
[b)] Normalised to European average per capita consumption

Source: NEEDS, Anthoff 2007

Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V. in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

## other potential sources of external costs

- Nuclear beyond design accidents
- Proliferation
- Impacts from nuclear waste treatment
- Security of supply
- Changes in land use patterns due to biomass use
- …

↳ satisfying methodological approaches for quantifying related external costs are not available

Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V. in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

## conceptual limits in quantifying environmental externalities

- constant preferences over time (hundreds or thousands of years)?
- Arrow (1963): it is impossible to aggregate individual preferences in a plural society in a fashion that is both democratic and consistent.
- *develop a better understanding about which externalities can be reasonably quantified and used for supporting decision making!*

Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V. in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

## key questions

- Uncertainties related to the quantification of external costs are large. Is information on external costs robust enough to steer decision processes?
- Does external cost information sufficiently represent long term dynamics of potential development pathways to support long term strategy development?

Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V. in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft




European Commission's brochure on ExternE results (2003, EUR 20198)

QUANTIFIED MARGINAL EXTERNAL COSTS OF ELECTRICITY PRODUCTION IN GERMANY[2] (IN € CENT PER KWH)

| | Coal | Lignite | Gas | Nuclear | PV | Wind | Hydro |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *Damage costs* | | | | | | | |
| Noise | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 |
| Health | 0.73 | 0.99 | 0.34 | 0.17 | 0.45 | 0.072 | 0.051 |
| Material | 0.015 | 0.020 | 0.007 | 0.002 | 0.012 | 0.002 | 0.001 |
| Crops | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0008 | 0 | 0.0007 | 0.0002 |
| Total | 0.75 | 1.01 | 0.35 | 0.17 | 0.46 | 0.08 | 0.05 |
| *Avoidance costs* | | | | | | | |
| Ecosystems | 0.20 | 0.78 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| Global Warming | 1.60 | 2.00 | 0.73 | 0.03 | 0.33 | 0.04 | 0.03 |

Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V. in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

## NEEDS – Research Stream 'life cycle approaches for the assessment of emerging energy technologies'

- support the representation of technology development time dynamics in long term energy scenarios
- characterisation of emerging electricity generation technologies with respect to long term future technical, economic and environmental performance
- 'dynamic LCA' of future energy technologies

Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V. in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

## technology futures depend on socio-economic framing conditions

- **'pessimistic scenario'**

  Socio-economic framing conditions do not stimulate market uptake and technical innovations.

- **'optimistic-realistic scenario'**

  Strong socio-economic drivers support dynamic market uptake and continuous technology development. It is very likely that the respective technology gains relevance on the global electricity market.

- **'very optimistic scenario'**

  A technological breakthrough makes the respective technology on the long term a leading global electricity supply technology.



## example: future off-shore wind technologies

| | 2050 |
|---|---|
| 'pessimistic' | - 16 MW turbine, guyed foundation<br>- Carbon fibre tower<br>- 75% carbon fibre + 25% natural fibre blades<br>- Gearbox upscale |
| 'realistic-optimistic' | - 24 MW turbine, floating foundation<br>- Gearless turbine<br>- Carbon fibre lattice tower<br>- Co-existence with water turbine/wave generator; shared cables to shore |
| 'very optimistic' | - 32 MW turbine<br>- Hydro-windturbine<br>- Off-shore 'energy landscape' |



Source: NEEDS, DONG Energy

## future PV life cycle $CO_2$-emissions




Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V. in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

Source: NEEDS, Ambiente Italia

## quantifiable external costs of future technologies

($CO_2$ damage costs with equity weighting)




Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V. in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

## Using external costs in sustainability assessment (I)

- emerging energy technologies have a significant potential to reduce costs and environmental impacts
- external costs of future renewable energy technologies are small
- technology foresight methods shall be applied to explore technology development potentials in long term strategy development
- ‘dynamic LCA’ of long term future technologies is a key for supporting prospective Technology Assessment – but this is beyond ISO standards

Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V. in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

## Using external costs in sustainability assessment (II)

- External costs are helpful in communicating a quantitative link between environmental impacts and welfare losses
- External cost information is more complex than a price tag in a store. Be aware of non-quantifiable externalities.
- Do not use ‘total costs’ as a one-dimensional decision criteria. Real life never is that easy …
- Policy decisions need to be taken to react on current environmental pressure without being able to identify a cost-optimal level of intervention. In such a situation, decisions should be guided by the precautionary principle rather than waiting for scientific evidence that can prove a cost-optimal strategy.

Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V. in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

## Thank you very much for your attention!

contact us:
wolfram.krewitt@dlr.de
or visit the websites:
www.dlr.de/tt/system
www.needs-project.org

**Acknowledgements:**

European Commission, 6th framework program

Research teams of NEEDS Research stream 1a